

Loose housed sows

Chief Scientist

Vivi Aarestrup Moustsen, Ph.D., M.Sc.

2nd September 2022



Affiliate associate professor of
Animal Husbandry, Pigs.
Department of Veterinary and Animal Science

FACULTY OF HEALTH AND MEDICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

Danish Pig Levy Fund

SEGES
INNOVATION

Queen: "We are the champions...."

or maybe more "we like to be the Champions" – what does it take?

To be the best producers of pork in the world takes:

- High performance animals
- *Optimized nutrition*
- *Professional staff (stockpeople)*
- Optimized conditions to ensure
 - High productivity
 - High welfare
 - High health



Hyper-prolific high performance sows

- Selection criteria for sows
 - Capable of nursing piglets
 - Low input – work
 - Low input medication
 - Long and large life performance
- We expect them
 - To have uncomplicated farrowing
 - But it is a marathon – a farrowing takes 4-8 hours
 - To produce significant amounts of milk continuously
 - 16 kg/day on average
 - To release many fertile eggs

I just gave birth to 25 liveborn piglets – took 8 hours



I'm producing 16 liter of milk every day



I'm carrying 18-32 fetuses



Optimized conditions => Optimized housing

- Today – farrowing pen – because it is where it all begins.....
 - Behaviours
 - Physical 'needs'
 - Animal dimensions
 - Animal numbers



Also need to consider

- Caretakers work conditions
- Environmental impact
- And farm economy...



Behaviours

Sows

- Eat, drink and dung + urinate
 - And not in the same position
- Rest
- Explore
- Nestbuild
- Farrow
- Nurse
- Thermoregulate



Rest



Socialize



Eat and drink



Urinate and dung



Explore



Nurse/suckle

Piglets

- Birth
- Suckle
- Rest
- Play and explore

Decisions before building and running afterwards

- Key decisions
- Once you've build – conditions are given - live with it....and optimize within conditions
- Start with successful implementation of higher welfare initiatives
 - Understanding:
 - What do pigs do
 - When do they do it
 - Why do they do it
 - How do they do it
 - ...




Pig – dimensions and numbers

- Sow
 - Easy part first – numbers: 1
 - Dimensions
 - Space needed to perform behaviours
- Piglets
 - Numbers and dimensions
 - Birth
 - Litter equalization
 - Weaning
 - Space needed to perform behaviours



Sow dimensions anno 2017

- 405 Danish crossbred sows from 10 commercial herds



Modified after Moustsen et al., (2011)
Livestock Science 141, 272-275

Dimensions full grown sows

Parity 5 +


2003 and 2017

Year	2003		2017	
Sows, no	126		103	
Dimension	Ave. \pm se	95% percentile	Ave. \pm se	95% percentile
Length, cm				
Heigth, cm				
Width, cm				
Depth, cm				

Mousten et al., (2011)
Livestock Science 141, 272-275

Mousten & Nielsen, Meddelelse 1113
www.svineproduktion.dk

Dimensions not full grown sows 2003 and 2017



Besides sow dimensions - movement




Figure 4.
Line around a standing
sow, before movement




Figure 5.
Frame around the sow
before movement was
initiated





Figure 6.
Frame after movement –
showing area used during
up- and downwards
movement

*Mousten & Duus,
Meddelelse 722,
www.svineproduktion.dk*

Dimensions - piglets



< one week:

App. 30 cm long; 8-9 cm width; 9-10 cm depth; app. 0.03 m²/pig

App. 3 weeks:

App. 50 cm long; 14-15 cm width; 14-15 cm depth; ca. 0.07 m²/pig

Area depends on age and numbers, m²

		Number in pen									
		10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Age	1										
	3										

Dimensions – pen equipment



Sows:
Length
Depth
Width
Head

Piglets:
Length
Depth
Width
Height

Challenge of change – housing of lactating sows from crates to loose

- From outdoor to loose indoor or from crate to loose?
- Solid floor vs. high level of hygiene – or both?
- Large pens – large investments - few farms?
- Smaller pens – fully slatted – cheap – many farms?
- Only building once! Need to consider long term political and market situation (eg caged layers)




Significant investment - Market driven



Free farrowing

- Initially - Pen meeting needs of sow, piglet, caretakers



1. Creep area adjacent to the pathway

- Piglets are checked everyday
 - Safety
 - Fast
 - Limit risk of disease transfer

2. Sow-resting area next to creep

- The sows choose to lie next to creep
 - Partly solid floor – at least in Denmark
 - Reduce environmental impact
 - Partly solid floor is cheaper than aircleaners etc
 - Warmth – dry floors before farrowing – and piglet survival
 - Keep nestbuilding- and rooting material in pen – not in slurry

3. The sow walks away (turns away) from feeding area, when defaecating



Three commercial herds

- Ok small scale
- Three herds – results

Piglet mortality, expressed as numbers, in crates and pens in Herds A, B and C.

White bars=mortality before litter equalisation, Black bars=mortality after litter equalisation. P-value for herd \times housing interactions: mortality before equalisation: $P = 0.107$; mortality after equalisation: $P = 0.031$. Black bars with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

Piglet survival


- Sow versus pig welfare
- ‘Killer’ sows
 - ~50% of the loose sows are ‘Killers’
 - ~20% of the sows in crates
- Identification of ‘Killer’ sows
 - Need to find them in time to save the piglets
 - Research-fishing-expedition (5 to 10 years??)
 - How many will we find?
 - Likely intervention = crate (50% of the sows?)



02-07-2010 12:28:48.059


Impact of confinement?

Two designs




Two pen designs

FF = Free Farrowing



AU/DAWS/PRC +

SWAP = Sow Welfare And Piglet protection



UCPH/PRC



SEGES
INNOVATION

Impact of SWAP on sow movement?



- Before farrowing – nest building period
 - No difference in duration of nest building period
 - No difference in duration of nest building per hour
- After farrowing
 - The sows were lying lateral majority of the time
 - >110 minutes out of 120 minutes observed (4 x daily)



No difference between loose and confined
- in pens designed for loose housed sows

Hales et al., 2014

Impact of swap on salivacortisol-level (stresshormon)?




Hales et al., 2014

Cortisol

LC: Loose-Confined: *Loose D114 gest until finished farrow then confined day 4 post farrowing*

LL: **Loose-Loose:** *Loose D114 gest until day 4 post farrowing*


CC: **Confined-confined:** *Confined D114 gest until day 4 post farrowing*




Sows postures



Lying lateral, min/interval




Standing, min/interval



Hales, 2015

Piglet mortality - impact of confinement



Hales, 2015


SEGES
INNOVATION

Farrowing unit – loose sows

- Two kinds of pen design

SWAP = Sow Welfare and
Piglet protection

FF = Freedom
farrowing



The future is not 'only' welfare - it's a more sustainable pork production



Social responsibility
• Incl. animal welfare



Environment /
climate impact



Business
earnings

Critical points

Before investment

- Decision making
 - Key decisions



Daily management

- Calm handling of sows
- Use of confinement




Why can't we just....

- Why not just open up the crate?
 - The sows need more space – they cannot turn around unimpeded in an open crate
 - The sows turn away from feeder (and resting areas) when dunging
- Why not just copy pen designs from Norway, Sweden or Switzerland
 - They use zero-confinement – so 'only' need to design for loose sow
 - Increased litter-size leads to increased need for management in the first few days
 - Use confinement

Can we prepare pens with crates?


The answer is 'no'

While the crate is **closed**, the sow eats and defaecates in the same position.




Very difficult to use the same footprint for crates and for pens

When the crates is **open**, the sow continues to eat at the trough.



But turns away from the trough when defaecating.



The sow is/will be loose most or all of the time

Farrowing crate
– confined sows




Farrowing pen
– loose sows



Use temporary confinement –
BUT in a pen designed for
a loose sow

Initial key decisions

Other key decisions



Initial key decisions

‘Irreversible’ decisions

- Pen size
- Pen layout
- Flooring
- Handling of manure/slurry
- *Zero- or temporary confinement (TC)*

Other key decisions


- Litter size in pen
- If TC - how and when to confine
- Nesting material and amount
- Enrichment
- Weaning age

Options or alternatives

- Zero-confinement (free farrowing)
 - Common in countries with legislative enforcement
 - Used in research such as the UMB-pen and PigSAFE
- Temporary confinement (free lactation)
 - Accepted in countries with up-coming legislative enforcement
- Two categories of pens
 - Designed for loose sows – with an option to confine
 - SWAP; ProDromi;
 - *Farrowing crate that can be opened*



A more sustainable Danish pork production



From animal welfare to sustainability

‘We’ want

- Space
- Cleanliness
- Low input labour
- Healthy piglets


‘However:

- Space
 - Larger surfaces - increase emissions
- Cleanliness
 - If slatted floor – increase emissions
- Low input labour
 - If slatted floor – increase emissions
- Healthy piglets
 - If slatted floor – increase emissions


Space – dilemma between space for welfare and risk of emissions

- Austria
 - 5.5 m²/sow
- Germany
 - 6.5 m²/sow
- It's not as simple
 - Is there a perfect size?
 - Key decisions
 - Solid or partly slatted floor?
 - Examples
- Square pens (equal sided)
 - Fully slatted floor
- Rectangular pens
 - Dimensions – pen
 - Fixed width
 - Fixed length
 - Fixed ratio width/length
 - Dimensions flooring (solid / slatted)
 - Within each of the above designs
 - Fixed ratio solid/slatted floor
 - Fixed depth of slats of 100 cm
 - Fixed depth of solid of 200 cm


How different can 6 m²-pens be?



Square
S60 / 245*245



Rectangular – width (220 cm)
273*220



Rectangular – depth (300 cm)
300*200

‘Ideal’ pen size (1)

- Sows’ dimensions



Nielsen et al., 2018

- Planar width – turning space



*Planar width of 153 cm
Planar area of 3.17 m²*



considered necessary to allow unobstructed turning for sows with the 95-percentile weight.

Needs further research 

'Ideal' pen size (2)

- Dimensions*number
- Piglet dimensions
 - Birth,
 - One week
 - Four-five weeks
- Litter size in pen

- Functional areas
- Piglet safety zones



Pen layout (1)

- First decision regarding design
 - Creep area along passageway
 - Safety
 - Efficiency
 - Reduce risk of transferring diseases
 - Easy access

[FFL21 : Change experiences by a Danish farmer \(openagrar.de\)](https://www.freefarrowing.org/research/references/freedom-in-farrowing-and-lactation-2021-FFL21/)



<https://www.freefarrowing.org/research/references/freedom-in-farrowing-and-lactation-2021-FFL21/>

Overcoming barriers, facilitating change



Virtual Workshop August 12th-13th 2021

As part of the [Free Farrowing series of workshops](#), a virtual event (organized by FLI, SEGES, SRUC and Vetmeduni Vienna) was held over two days.

SEGES
INNOVATION

Confinement

- Temporary confinement – take the best of both loose and confined
 - Loose – natural behaviour, access to udder,
 - Confined – lower piglet mortality, safe work conditions
- Before farrowing - loose
 - No piglets at risk, active nest seeking and nestbuilding
 - Quiet/calm the last couple of hours
- During farrowing - confined
 - Ensure access to udder when confined
 - Recent review
 - ‘Lower’ mortality with TC than FF
 - ‘Higher’ mortality with TC than permanent C
- After a few days – loose again
 - Awareness when opening

Ref:

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.811810>

Daily management

- Calm calm calm
- Not just in farrowing unit
- Include 'calmness' in layout
 - Sections
 - Less pens per section
 - Creep alongside passageway
- Include 'calmness' in daily routines
 - Handling of sows and piglets




Critical points

- Investment
 - Design for a loose sow
 - Acknowledge key decisions and complexity
 - Ensure space for piglets
 - Include three pillars of sustainability
 - Daily management
 - Calm handling
 - Optimize
 - Mindset



Critical points

- Loose housing – with an option to confine
- In respect of the three pillars of sustainability
- Science based
- Work together – across borders



Future

- Reflections
 - German legislation
 - End the Cage Age Initiative
 - EU?
- Challenges
 - Sustainability
 - Competitiveness
- Opportunities
 - Increased milk production
 - Large litters
 - Licence to produce



Consider whole sow life – all sows

- Feeding, housing and handling of
 - Gilts
 - Mating sows
 - Gestating
 - Lactating



Think sows as high performing athletes



“Prepare them to give birth to and feed many piglets

- Conditions – our responsibility:
 - *Housing*
 - *Nutrition – before, during and after*
 - *Physical conditions – and avoid injuries*



And not just conditions (shoes)
– also tieing the shoe laces

Housing of hyper-prolific high performance sows




I just gave birth to 25 liveborn piglets – took 8 hours



I'm carrying 18-32 fetuses

I'm producing 16 liter of milk every day

Thank you for the attention



• Questions?